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A. ACTIONS TAKEN TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING
What actions did you take in 2017-2018, based on previous assessment findings, to improve student learning in your program? (Refer back to plans indicated in “Response to Assessment Findings” in last Assessment Report.)

In 2017-2018, the School counseling program increased to a 60-Credit hour program to meet the 2016 CACREP accreditation standards. This increase in credit hour, added two additional courses to the school counseling program. These courses provide more opportunities to explore relevant content that meets the learning objectives provided below. The new Key Assessments were also updated, and students submitted them via the new online portfolio system, taskstream. Course CNL 7650 added additional assignments, and changed text books in order to address the low mean scores found in Domain 1 of the 2016-17 OAE scores. In addition, similar content (student development and learning) was also reviewed in Course CNL 6620. Scores in this area increased this year. Lastly, the program director offered a study session in the Spring 2018 for the OAE and reviewed questions in CNL 8670 to assist students with OAE preparation.

B. STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSED AND EXAMINED
Which Program Level Student Learning Outcomes did you assess and examine during 2017-2018? List the Program Level Student Learning Outcomes using the format of “Graduates will be able to __________________________.”

Student Learning Outcomes for All Counseling Programs:
1. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of professional counseling orientation and ethical practice (F1).
2. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of social and cultural diversity (F2).
3. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of human growth and development (F3).
4. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of career development theories, models, approaches, and strategies (F4).
5. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of theories, models, approaches, and strategies for counseling and helping relationships (F5).
6. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of theories, models, approaches, and strategies for group counseling and group work (F6).
7. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of methods, procedures, and purposes of assessment and testing in counseling (F7).
8. Counselor education graduates will demonstrate knowledge and understanding of research and program evaluation methods, designs, and evidence-based practices (F8).

School Counseling learning outcomes
Graduates will be able to:
1. Demonstrate the following counseling skills: Rapport, Active Listening, Case Conceptualization, Intervention, Evaluation, Termination and Follow-up.
2. Demonstrate multicultural competency in formulating strategies for working with diverse populations.
3. Design a comprehensive developmental guidance program.
4. Locate resources in the community to facilitate student learning and development.
5. Implement strategies and programs for preparing students for postsecondary educational and career planning.
6. Demonstrate knowledge of technology in the practice of school counseling.
7. Demonstrate the ability to apply research findings to the practice of school counseling.
8. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of current legal and ethical issues that affect the practice of school counseling.
9. Distinguish the professional school counselor identity from that of related helping professions.
10. Design prevention and intervention plans related to student atypical growth and development and factors of resiliency on student learning and development.

C. METHODS FOR COLLECTING DATA
Which students were included in the assessment? (For example, all seniors completing Course X in Spring 2018, all graduating seniors, etc.)

All students who graduated in the spring of 2018 were included in the assessment.

D. ASSESSMENT MEASURES
• What key assessments/assignments/student work did you examine to directly assess the Program Level Student Learning Outcomes listed above?

Key Assessments: All school counseling students were required to upload key assessments as evidence that program learning outcomes had been met. Key assessments were aligned with the 2016 CACREP standards for School Counselors Section G. The key assessments were uploaded to Taskstream, an online portfolio tool.

Ohio Assessment for Educators (OAE) As a program requirement, all school counseling students must pass the OAE in order to be eligible for graduation (or pass a comprehensive examination issued by the HS department). The OAE is the examination required to be eligible for the professional school counselor license (pupil services) in the state of Ohio. The content addressed on the OAE is aligned with the Program Learning Outcomes and the 2009 and 2016 CACREP standards. The content domains include: Student development & learning, Comprehensive School Counseling Program, and Professional Knowledge & Practice. The passing score on the OAE is 220.

• What, if any, indirect assessments (e.g. exit survey, pre-service teacher survey, alumni survey, focus groups, etc.) did you use to indirectly assess the Program Level Student Learning Outcomes listed above?

Exit Surveys: The chair of the Human Services department solicits data from graduating students by way of an exit survey. The exit survey allows students to provide feedback on their overall satisfaction with the school counseling program.

E. SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS
What did you find from your assessments? What did your data reveal about how well students are achieving the Program Level Student Learning Outcomes that you listed above?

Taskstream Data: (N=12) 11 students met or exceeded expectations for all criterion in the CNL 8670 Program Development Portfolio. One student did not meet expectations in criterion 3b. and 3.m. Students are achieving the program level learning outcomes listed above (1-10) by successful completion of The portfolio assignment.

OAE Results: (N=18) 17 of the 18 students passed the Ohio Assessment for Educators. The OAE pass rate is 94.4% which is higher than the state pass rate of 87.2%.
There was an increase in the mean scores for Domain 1 from last year and a decrease. The other two domains remained consistent, but Domain 2 saw the largest negative difference between WSU and State Scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>WSU Mean Content Score</th>
<th>State Mean Content Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2.9</th>
<th>2.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Development &amp; Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive School Counseling Program</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Knowledge &amp; Practice</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to year WSU scores show general consistency in competency scores. The highest score occurred in competency 0005—Providing responsive services. The greatest decline from last year occurred in competency 0004–Student Goal Development and Planning. The greatest increase from last year occurred in competency 0002 Learning processes. This was the lowest competency level in the scores from last year which was addressed through changes in courses last year. This indicates a need to focus on Learning Outcome 3 in current coursework.

**Exit Survey Results:** (N=6) Of the 6 Students who completed the exit survey 100% of students reported satisfaction with courses, quality of instruction, relationships with program faculty, employment preparation and relevance of coursework. 17% of students reported dissatisfaction with sense of community with students in the program, preparation for further education and providing internships that supported their preparation. 33% of students reported begin dissatisfied with “clearly articulated policies to facilitate progression to program completion”. Although program satisfaction does not indicate achievement in learning outcomes, the results do show reason to believe students were satisfied with their overall preparation for work as professional counselors. This preparation should align with the program learning outcomes.

**F. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**
How were results shared? With whom were they discussed?
The OAE results were shared with program faculty and discussed in reference to curriculum changes.
The key assessments and exit survey results were made available to department faculty via Pilot. Results were included with materials prepared for CACREP accreditation self study.

**G. ACTIONS PLANNED TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING**
Based on what you learned from your assessment of the Program Level Student Learning Outcomes, what actions do the faculty in your program plan to take to improve student learning in your program/area? Describe the steps faculty have taken/will take to use information from the assessments for improvement of student performance and the program. List additional faculty meetings or discussions and planned or actual changes to curriculum, teaching methods, approaches, or services that are in response to the assessment findings.

Program faculty will review content in current courses to address declines in scores in specific competency areas identified in the OAE. Course CNL 7650 is the course that focuses on DOMAIN 2. Course faculty will explore new text and materials to ensure content aligns with content assessed on the OAE. A curriculum committee has been organized to review all counseling programs and make changes to curriculum to better meet learning objectives for students. The committee will begin meeting in the Spring of 2018.

**H. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS (recommended)**
Please attach minutes of program faculty meetings and/or stakeholder meetings where discussion of results and action planning occurred and any other relevant documents.

**I. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES**
Federal law requires WSU to, prior to implementing new and emerging technologies (e.g. employing new software, distance-learning parameters, etc.) in its academic programs, first evaluate and consider the potential effects of these technologies on students with disabilities. Please respond to the following questions about any proposed changes to technology in your program:
1. List any changes instituted over the past year regarding the use of technologies in your program.
   a. As of Spring 2018, students were required to upload key assessments to Taskstream, an online portfolio system.

2. Describe any steps you took to ensure accessibility for students with disabilities before instituting these changes.
   a. The decision to use Taskstream and discontinue using TK20 was made by the college.

3. Describe any accessibility issues you discovered during the year regarding the technologies and any steps you have taken to resolve these issues.
   a. No accessibility issues were discovered during the 2017-18 year.